Overview

- Motivation: systems described by multiple state parameters
- Basic concepts: scalars, vectors, matrices
- Sets: finite, countable, countably infinite, uncountably infinite
- Algebraic structures: groups, fields, field of real numbers
- Functions: general definition, vectors as functions defined on a finite set

- Up to now, systems described by $y: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, y' = f(x, y) have been considered
- Most systems require more than one parameter to establish their state, e.g.,
 - Position in 3D-space: $r(t) = (x(t) \ y(t) \ z(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $r: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3$;
 - Stock market prices: $p: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, *n* the number of listed stocks,
 - Patient health monitoring: y = (temperature heart rate ...)
- Change in system whose state is given by n parameters that each depend on a single independent variable \boldsymbol{x}

$$y' = f(x, y), y: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n, f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$$
(1)

• The mathematical issue that arises is to establish a framework to work with multi-dimensional quantitites, such as y(x) from (1)

- A *scalar* is a quantity described completely by its magnitude. Such quantities are described mathematically by a single number such as $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
- Informally a vector is a quantity that besides magnitude also requires the specification of a direction. This usage arises from common examples in physics, e.g., the position vector of a point $\vec{r} = x \vec{i} + y \vec{i} + z \vec{k}$. Consider for now a vector to be a grouping of multiple scalars, e.g.,

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

A mathematically precise definition is given later.

• Just as scalars are grouped into vectors, n vectors are grouped into a matrix

$$\boldsymbol{A} = (\boldsymbol{a}_1 \ \dots \ \boldsymbol{a}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \boldsymbol{a}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

- The informal introduction of vectors, matrices can lead to contradictions. A consistent mathematical framework is required. First step: *naïve set theory*.
- In naïve set theory¹, a set is a collection of objects, e.g., A = {a, 2, Z}, and a fundamental binary relation between objects and sets is introduced, denoted by ∈, the member of relation, such as 2 ∈ A. The negation of this relation is ∉, the not member of relation, e.g., 3 ∉ A.
- Some sets are *finite*, e.g., $A = \{a, 2, Z\}, B = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$
- Other sets are infinite, but countable: $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}, \mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}, \mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, ...\}, \mathbb{Q} = \{a/b | a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$
- Yet other sets are infinite and not-countable: \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C}

^{1.} The resulting set theory is called "naïve" because it can lead to paradoxes, e.g., the Russell paradox: "What is the set of all sets that are not members of themselves". Mathematically: define $R = \{x | x \notin x\}$. Then the paradox is that $R \in R \Leftrightarrow R \notin R$, i.e. if R is a member of R then it is not a member and if Ris not a member of R then it is a member. Such paradoxes led to the formulation of axiomatic set theory.

- After introducing objects and collections of objects in set theory, the next step is to formally define operations with objects. *Algebra* defines many such formal structures. Of particular interest here are groups and fields.
- A group is the algebraic structure (S, \oplus) with S a set, \oplus a binary operation with properties for $\forall a, b, c \in S$:

Closure. $a \oplus b \in S$.

Associativity. $a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c$.

Identity element. $\exists e \in S$, such that $a \oplus e = e \oplus a = a$

Inverse element. $\exists (-a)$ such that $a \oplus (-a) = e$

In addition to the above properties, a *commutative group* also satisfies:
 Commutativity. a + b = b + a.

• A field is an algebraic structure (S, \oplus, \otimes) with commutative group properties for \oplus and \otimes (with an exception) and distributivity of \otimes over \oplus , $\forall a, b, c \in S$

 $a \oplus b \in S$ $a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c$ $\exists 0 \in S, a \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus a = a$ $\exists (-a), a + (-a) = 0$ $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$ $a \otimes b \in S$ $a \otimes (b \otimes c) = (a \otimes b) \otimes c$ $\exists 1 \in S, \ a \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes a = a$ if $a \neq 0, \ \exists a^{-1}, \ a \otimes a^{-1} = 1$ $a \otimes b = b \otimes a$

Distributivity. $a \otimes (b \oplus c) = a \otimes b \oplus a \otimes c$

- The real numbers with addition and multiplication form a field $(\mathbb{R},+,\cdot)$
- The complex numbers with addition and multiplication form a field $(\mathbb{C},+,\cdot)$

- A function f is a relation between two sets \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C} that associates to every element of \mathcal{D} (the *domain*) a single element from \mathcal{C} (the *codomain*)
- Notations: $f: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{C}$, $x \in \mathcal{D}$, $f(x) \in \mathcal{C}$
- An important observation is that vectors can be interpreted as functions:
 - Consider $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1 \dots x_n)^T$ (here the ^T superscript indicates transposition of the row vector into a column vector).
 - Now consider the function $x: \{1, ..., n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $x(i) = x_i$

The above observations presages that many of the results for the algebra of vectors within \mathbb{R}^n have relevance and generalization for other types of vectors. In particular, functions $y: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ can be interpreted as vectors within some set C (different from \mathbb{R}^n)