# **NUMBER APPROXIMATION - EXERCISES**

# 1. Numbers

Exercise 1. Define one-to-one correspondences between the following sets of numbers:

a)  $\mathbb{E} = \{n | n \in \mathbb{N}, n \mod 2 = 0\}, \mathbb{O} = \{n | n \in \mathbb{N}, n \mod 2 = 1\}$ Solution.  $f: \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{O}, f(n) = n + 1$  is one-to-one.

b) ℕ, ℤ

Solution.  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$ , with f defined by  $\{0 \to 0, 1 \to -1, 2 \to 1, 3 \to -2, 4 \to 2, ...\}$  is one possibility. Introducing [x] as the integer part of  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. [x] = n with  $n \le x < n + 1$ , f can be expressed as

 $f(n) = (-1)^{n \mod 2} (\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + n \mod 2)$ 

In Julia  $x \div y$  is integer division, so for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $[n/2] = n \div 2$ , and % is the modulo operator

:. [4÷5 4÷4 4÷3 4÷2; 4%5 4%4 4%3 4%2]	
$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$	)
:. function f(n)	
q = n÷2; r = n%2; s = 1-2*r;	
s* (q+r)	
end	
f	
: N=10; [collect(0:N)'; _f.(0:N)']	
$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & -2 & 2 & -3 & 3 & -4 & 4 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} $ (2)	)

÷.

c) ℤ, ℚ

Solution. Construct a table and introduce diagonal traversal to obtain the positive rationals p/q.



**Figure 1.** One-to-one mapping showing that  $|\mathbb{Q}| = |\mathbb{N}|$ 

From above deduce  $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{E}| = |\mathbb{O}| = |\mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{Q}| = \aleph_0$ .

**Exercise 2.** Provide an example to show  $|\mathbb{R}| > |\mathbb{N}|$ .

**Exercise 3.** Let  $\mathbb{N}_q = \{n | n \in \mathbb{N}, n < 2^q\}$ . Answer the following questions analytically. Also provide a Julia implementation.

- a) Define a one-to-one correspondence  $f: \mathbb{N}_{2q} \to \mathbb{N}_q \times \mathbb{N}_q$
- b) Let  $f(m_1) = (n_1, p_1)$ ,  $f(m_2) = (n_2, p_2)$ . Assume  $m_1 + m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_{2q}$ . Express  $f(m_1 + m_2)$  in terms of  $n_1, n_2, p_1, p_2$ .
- c) Assume  $m_1 \cdot m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_{2q}$ . Express  $f(m_1 \cdot m_2)$  in terms of  $n_1, n_2, p_1, p_2$ .

**Exercise 4.** Construct a one-to-one representation of the positions of atoms within an hexagonal lattice,  $f: \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ . Implement f and  $f^{-1}$  as Julia functions. Use f to construct a graphical representation of a two-dimensional hexagonal lattice.

**Exercise 5.** Construct a one-to-one representation of the positions of atoms within an hexagonal lattice,  $f: \mathbb{Z}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ . Implement f and  $f^{-1}$  as Julia functions. Use f to construct a graphical representation of a three-dimensional hexagonal lattice.

## 2. Approximation

**Exercise 6.** Write Julia code to compute machine epsilon  $\epsilon$  for Float32 and Float64.

#### Solution.

```
.. function MachEps(type)
one=type(1.0); half=type(0.5); eps=one;
while (one+half*eps != one)
eps=half*eps;
end
return eps;
end;
.. [MachEps(Float32) eps(Float32) MachEps(Float64) eps(Float64)]
[1.1920928955078125e-7,1.1920928955078125e-7,2.220446049250313e-16,2.220446049250313e-16]
(3)
..
```

**Exercise 7.** Carry out a numerical experiment to verify the Axiom of floating point arithmetic within Float32, by computing  $\pi + r$  in Float32 and comparing to the result in Float64. Construct a scatter plot of  $(r, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon$  the error in computing  $\pi + r$  in Float32.

**Solution.** The floating point axiom states  $f(x) \otimes f(y) = (x * y)(1 + \varepsilon)$ , with  $|\varepsilon| \le \epsilon$ , leading to

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\mathrm{fl}(x) \circledast \mathrm{fl}(y)}{x \ast y} - 1,$$

or in this case

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\mathrm{fl}(\pi) \oplus \mathrm{fl}(r)}{\pi + r} - 1$$

The operations in  $\mathbb{R}$  are computed in Float 64 in the following, with *r* randomly chosen.

```
∴ function ErrPlot(n)
pi32=Float32(pi); pi64=Float64(pi); half=Float64(0.5); one=Float64(1.0);
rscale = 1.0e3*half; e32 = eps(Float32);
r64=Float64.(rscale*(rand(n) .- half));
r32=Float32.(r64);
result32 = pi32 .+ r32; result64 = pi64 .+ r64;
ε = result32 ./ result64 .- one;
rmin=minimum(r64); rmax=maximum(r64);
clf(); plot(r32,ε,".",[rmin rmax],[e32 e32],"dg",[rmin rmax],[-e32 -e32],"dg");
xlabel("r"); ylabel("ε"); title("Float32<sub>u</sub>addition<sub>u</sub>error");
end;
∴ ErrPlot(1000); savefig(homedir()*"/courses/MATH661/images/E01Fig02.eps")
```



Figure 2. Numerical experiment on verification of floating point axiom. While for most numbers within this random sample the axiom is verified, there are a few cases when  $r \cong 0$  the error is larger than  $\epsilon$ .

Exercise 8. Consider the approximations of *e* 

$$S_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \dots + \frac{1}{n!}, T_n = \frac{1}{n!} + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} + \dots + 1$$

a) Write Julia functions to compute  $S_n$ ,  $T_n$ .

Solution.

```
∴ function S(n)
    fact=1.0; sum=1.0;
    for k=2:n
      fact = k*fact;
      sum = sum + 1/fact;
    end
    return sum;
 end;
\therefore function T(n)
    fact=1.0;
    for k=n:-1:2
      fact=k*fact;
    end
    sum=0.0;
    for k=n:-1:1
      sum = sum + 1/fact;
      fact = fact/k;
    end
    return sum;
 end;
```

b) Determine if  $S_n = T_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Solution.** In  $\mathbb{R}$ , indeed  $S_n = T_n$  by commutativity (proof by induction). In  $\mathbb{F}$  there must exist some *N* such that for n > N,  $S_n \neq T_n$  as a consequence of the existence of machine epsilon. Verify by computation (note organization of computations to use Julia broadcasting and presentation of results in a single table)

∴ r=1:8; s=S.(r); t=1	[.(r); chk = s.=	=t; [r s t chk	]
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.	0         1.0           0         1.5           0         1.666666666666667           0         1.708333333333333333333333333333333333333	1.0 1.5 1.6666666666666665 1.70833333333333 1.7166666666666668 1.71805555555555 1.7182539682539684 1.7182787698412698	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 1.0\\ 0.0\\ 1.0\\ 0.0 \end{array} $ (4)

1.1

- c) Determine if  $|S_n T_n| < \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon$  is machine epsilon). Is the floating point axiom verified?
- d) Determine if  $|S_n T_n| < (n-1)\epsilon$ . Is the floating point axiom verified?
- **Exercise 9.** Consider the approximations of  $\pi/2$  given by Wallis's product

$$S_n = \left(\frac{2}{1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{3}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{7}\right) \dots p_n,$$
  
$$T_n = p_n \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{7}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{3}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{2}{1}\right)$$

- a) Find the general term  $p_n$ .
- b) Determine if  $S_n = T_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- c) Determine if  $|S_n T_n| < \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon$  is machine epsilon). Is the floating point axiom verified?
- d) Determine if  $|S_n T_n| < (n-1)\epsilon$ . Is the floating point axiom verified?

**Exercise 10.** Consider the approximations of e/2 given by Pippenger's product

$$S_n = \left(\frac{2}{1}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{3}\right)^{1/4} \cdot \left(\frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{7}\right)^{1/8} \dots p_n,$$
  
$$T_n = p_n \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \cdot \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{8}{7}\right)^{1/8} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{4}{3}\right)^{1/4} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{1}\right)^{1/2}$$

- a) Find the general term  $p_n$ .
- b) Determine if  $S_n = T_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- c) Determine if  $|S_n T_n| < \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon$  is machine epsilon). Is the floating point axiom verified?
- d) Determine if  $|S_n T_n| < (n-1)\epsilon$ . Is the floating point axiom verified?

### 3. Successive approximations

**Exercise 11.** Assume errors in successive numerical approximation of  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , finite, are given by  $e_n = a_n - a_{n-1}$ , with  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $a_n = n^{1/3}$ .

- a) Construct a scatter plot of  $(n, e_n)$ . Does the plot indicate convergence of the numerical approximation?
- b) Compute  $\lim_{n\to\infty} e_n$ .
- c) Suppose  $|e_n| < \varepsilon$ . What is an upper bound for  $|a_n a|$ ?

**Exercise 12.** Assume errors in successive numerical approximation of  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , finite, are given by  $e_n = a_n - a_{n-1}$ , with  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $a_n = n^{-1/2}$ .

- a) Construct a scatter plot of  $(n, e_n)$ . Does the plot indicate convergence of the numerical approximation?
- b) Compute  $\lim_{n\to\infty} e_n$ .
- c) Suppose  $|e_n| < \varepsilon$ . What is an upper bound for  $|a_n a|$ ?

**Exercise 13.** Consider a sequence of successive approximations of the derivative  $f'(x_0)$ 

$$d_n = \frac{f(x_0 + 1/n) - f(x_0)}{1/n}, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- a) Is  $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a convergent sequence?
- b) Is  $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a Cauchy sequence?
- c) Construct a scatter plot of  $(n, d_n)$  for  $f(x) = \sin x$ ,  $x_0 = \pi/4$ . Does the plot indicate convergence of  $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ?

d) Construct a scatter plot of  $(n, e_n)$ ,  $e_n = d_n - d_{n-1}$ , for  $f(x) = \sin x$ ,  $x_0 = \pi/4$ . Does the plot indicate convergence of  $\{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ?

**Exercise 14.** Consider errors in successive approximations  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by  $e_n = a_n - a_{n-1} = e_{n-1} + e_{n-2}$ , i.e., errors at each step accumulate errors in previous two steps, with  $e_1 = e_0 = 1$ . Is this a convergent approximation? Present both an analytical solution, and a numerical experiment.

**Exercise 15.** Consider errors in successive approximations  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by  $e_n = a_n - a_{n-1} = \frac{5}{6}e_{n-1} + \frac{1}{6}e_{n-2}$ , i.e., errors at each step are a weighted average of those in previous two steps, with  $e_1 = e_0 = 1$ . Is this a convergent approximation? Present both an analytical solution, and a numerical experiment.

**Exercise 16.** Consider errors in successive approximations  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  given by  $e_n = a_n - a_{n-1} = \frac{1}{2}e_{n-1} + \frac{1}{4}e_{n-2}$ , i.e., errors at each step are less than a weighted average of those in previous two steps, with  $e_1 = e_0 = 1$ . Is this a convergent approximation? Present both an analytical solution, and a numerical experiment.